2013-2014 ANNUAL ASSESSMENT REPORT Department of Kinesiology and Health Science Athletic Training

Part 1: Background Information

B1. Program name: Athletic Training

B2. Report author(s): Doris Flores

B3. Fall 2012 enrollment: 198

*Us*e the *Department Fact Book 2013* by OIR (Office of Institutional Research) to get the fall 2012 enrollment: (http://www.csus.edu/oir/Data%20Center/Department%20Fact%20Book/Departmental%20Fact%20Book.html).

B4. Program type: [SELECT ONLY ONE]

-	10g1um vj pov [822201 01/21 01/2]		
x 1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major			
		2. Credential	
		3. Master's degree	
		4. Doctorate: Ph.D./E.D.D.	
		5. Other, specify:	

Part 2: Six Questions for the 2013-2014 Annual Assessment

Question 1 (Q1): Program Learning Outcomes (PLO) Assessed in 2013-2014.

Q1.1. Which of the following program learning outcomes (PLOs) or Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals did you assess **in 2013-2014**? (See 2013-2014 Annual Assessment Report Guidelines for more details). [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

y. [CHECK HEE THAT HITE]					
	1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) *				
	2. Information literacy (WASC 2)				
X	3. Written communication (WASC 3)				
	4. Oral communication (WASC 4)				
	5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)				
	6. Inquiry and analysis				
	7. Creative thinking				
	8. Reading				
	9. Team work				
	10. Problem solving				
	11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global				
	12. Intercultural knowledge and competency				
	13. Ethical reasoning				
	14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning				
	15. Global learning				
	16. Integrative and applied learning				
	17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge				
	18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline				
	19. Others. Specify any PLOs that were assessed in 2013-2014				
	but not included above:				
	a.				
	b.				
	c.				

^{*} One of the WASC's new requirements is that colleges and universities report on the level of student performance at graduation in five core areas: critical thinking, information literacy, written communication, oral communication, and quantitative literacy.

Q1.1.1. Please provide more detailed information about the PLO(s) you checked above:

Athletic Training has developed nine learning goals that are generic to all kinesiology programs in the department. Students are asked to demonstrate effective writing composition and oral communication skills. In 2012-2013 oral communication was assessed.

The following are the focus areas for this assessment report:

- 1. Context and Purpose for Writing
- 2. Content Development
- 3. Genre and Disciplinary Convention
- 4. Sources and Evidence
- 5. Control of Syntax and Mechanics.

Q1.2. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q1.3. Is your program externally accredited (except for WASC)?

7 1 0	<u> </u>	,
X	1. Yes	
	2. No (If no, go to Q1.4)	
	3. Don't know (Go to Q1.4))

Q1.3.1. If yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q1.4. Have you used the *Degree Qualification Profile* (DQP)* to develop your PLO(s)?

	1. Yes
	2. No, but I know what DQP is.
X	3. No. I don't know what DQP is.
	4. Don't know

^{*} **Degree Qualifications Profile (DQP)** – a framework funded by the Lumina Foundation that describes the kinds of learning and levels of performance that may be expected of students who have earned an associate, baccalaureate, or master's degree. Please see the links for more details:

http://www.luminafoundation.org/publications/The_Degree_Qualifications_Profile.pdf and http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/DQPNew.html.

Question 2 (Q2): Standards of Performance/Expectations for EACH PLO.

Q2.1. Has the program developed/adopted **EXPLICIT** standards of performance/expectations for the PLO(s) you assessed **in 2013-2014 Academic Year**? (For example: We expect 70% of our students to achieve at least a score of 3 on the Written Communication VALUE rubric.)

	,
	1. Yes, we have developed standards/expectations for ALL PLOs assessed in 2013-14.
	2. Yes, we have developed standards/expectations for SOME PLOs assessed in 2013-14.
X	3. No (If no, go to Q2.2)
	4. Don't know (Go to Q2.2)
	5. Not Applicable (Go to Q2.2)

Q2.1.1. If yes, what are the desired levels of learning, including the criteria and standards of performance/expectations, especially at or near graduation, for EACH PLO assessed in 2013-2014 Academic Year? (For example: what will tell you if students have achieved your expected level of performance for the learning outcome.) Please provide the rubric and/or the expectations that you have developed for EACH PLO one at a time below. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS FOR EACH PLO]

Q2.2. Have you published the PLO(s)/expectations/rubric(s) you assessed in 2013-2014?

	1. Yes
X	2. No (If no, go to Q3.1)

Q2.2.1. If yes, where were the PLOs/expectations/rubrics published? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that claim to
introduce/develop/master the PLO(s)
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that claim to introduce
/develop/master the PLO(s)
3. In the student handbook/advising handbook
4. In the university catalogue
5. On the academic unit website or in the newsletters
6. In the assessment or program review reports/plans/resources/activities
7. In the new course proposal forms in the department/college/university
8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation
documents
10. In other places, specify:

Question 3 (Q3): Data, Results, and Conclusions for EACH PLO

Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence **collected** for 2013-2014?

X	1. Yes
	2. No (If no, go to Part 3: Additional Information)
	3. Don't know (Go to Part 3)
	4. Not Applicable (Go to Part 3)

Q3.2. If yes, was the data **scored/evaluated** for 2013-2014?

, 11 J 00, do tilo	0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0
X	1. Yes
	2. No (If no, go to Part 3: Additional Information)
	3. Don't know (Go to Part 3)
	4. Not Applicable (Go to Part 3)

Q3.3. If yes, what DATA have you collected? What are the results, findings, and CONCLUSION(s) for EACH PLO assessed in 2013-2014? In what areas are students doing well and achieving the expectations? In what areas do students need improvement? Please provide a simple and clear summary of the key data and findings, including tables and graphs if applicable for EACH PLO one at a time. [WORD LIMIT: 600 WORDS FOR EACH PLO]

Table 1: The Results for Written Communication

Five Criteria	Capstone (4)	Milestone		Milestone		Benchmark	Total (N=14)
		(3)	(2.5)	(2)	(1.5)	(1)	
Context and Purpose for Writing		29% (4/14))		71% (10/14)			2.3 N=14
Central Development		29% (4/14)		36% (5/14)		36% (5/14)	1.9 N=14
Genre and Disciplinary Conventions		29% (4/14)		71% (10/14)			2.3 N=14
Sources and Evidence				100% (14/14)			2 N=14
Control of Syntax and Mechanics		29% (4/14)		71% (10/14)			2.3 N=14

Results:

Using the written communication VALUE Rubric, the results are recorded above in Table 1. The assessment tool looks at five areas. Please see above. The results from the assignments assessed demonstrated a basic understanding of written communication. Most of the scores were found in the milestone range.

Q3.4. Do students meet the expectations/standards of performance as determined by the program and achieved the learning outcomes? [PLEASE MAKE SURE THE PLO YOU SPECIFY HERE IS THE SAME ONE YOU CHECKED/SPECIFIED IN Q1.1].

The majority of scores were found in the milestone range. This is satisfactory level for juniors in the athletic training program.

Q3.4.1. First PLO: _____Written Communication

	1. Exceed expectation/standard
X	2. Meet expectation/standard
	3. Do not meet expectation/standard
	4. No expectation/standard set
	5. Don't know

5. Don't know

[NOTE: IF YOU HAVE MORE THAN ONE PLO, YOU NEED TO REPEAT THE TABLE IN Q3.4.1 UNTIL YOU INCLUDE ALL THE PLO(S) YOU ASSESSED IN 2013-2014.]

1

O3.4.2. Second PLO: [

•••	:2: Becond 1 Eo: [
		1. Exceed expectation/standard	
		2. Meet expectation/standard	
		3. Do not meet expectation/standard	
		4. No expectation/standard set	

5. Don't know

Question 4 (Q4): Evaluation of Data Quality: Reliability and Validity.

Q4.1. How many PLOs in total did your program assess in the 2013-2014 academic year? [_1___]

Q4.2. Please choose **ONE ASSESSED PLO** as an example to illustrate how you use direct, indirect, and/or other methods/measures to collect data. If you only assessed one PLO **in 2013-14**, YOU CAN SKIP this question. If you assessed MORE THAN ONE PLO, please check **ONLY ONE PLO BELOW EVEN IF YOU ASSESSED MORE THAN ONE PLO IN 2013-2014.**

1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) ¹
2. Information literacy (WASC 2)
3. Written communication (WASC 3)
4. Oral communication (WASC 4)
5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)
6. Inquiry and analysis
7. Creative thinking
8. Reading
9. Team work
10. Problem solving
11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global
12. Intercultural knowledge and competency
13. Ethical reasoning
14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning
15. Global learning
16. Integrative and applied learning
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge
18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline
19. Other PLO. Specify:

Direct Measures

Q4.3. Were direct measures used to assess this PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No (If no, go to Q4.4)
	3. Don't know (Go to Q4.4)

Q4.3.1. Which of the following DIRECT measures were used? [Check all that apply]

which of the following Birther measures were used: [eneem an that apply]		
	1. Capstone projects (including theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences	
X	2. Key assignments from other CORE classes	
	3. Key assignments from other classes	
	4. Classroom based performance assessments such as simulations, comprehensive	
	exams, critiques	
	5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community based	
	projects	

6. E-Portfolios
7. Other portfolios
8. Other measure. Specify:

Q4.3.2. Please provide the direct measure(s) [key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s)] that you used to collect the data. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

Students were required to complete a term paper on a topic relevant to principles and techniques in a clinical setting. The term paper required an introduction, research findings, application and clinical recommendations, and references.

Q4.3.2.1. Was the direct measure(s) [key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s)] aligned directly with the rubric/criterion?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.3. Was the direct measure (s) [key assignment(s)/project(s)/portfolio(s)] aligned directly with the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.4. How was the evidence scored/evaluated? [Select one only]

	1. No rubric is used to interpret the evidence (If checked, go to Q4.3.7)
X	2. Use rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class
	3. Use rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty
	4. Use rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty
	5. Use other means. Specify:

Q4.3.5. What rubric/criterion was adopted to score/evaluate the above key assignments/projects/portfolio? [Select one only]

	1. The VALUE rubric(s)
X	2. Modified VALUE rubric(s)
	3. A rubric that is totally developed by local faculty
	4. Use other means. Specify:

Q4.3.6. Was the rubric/criterion aligned directly with the PLO?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.7. Were the evaluators (e.g., faculty or advising board members) who reviewed student work calibrated to apply assessment criteria in the same way?

	1. Yes
	2. No
X	3. Don't know

Q4.3.8. Were there checks for inter-rater reliability?

	1. Yes
X	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.9. Were the sample sizes for the direct measure adequate?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

Q4.3.10. How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc)? Please briefly specify here:

The instructor randomly selected 3 papers from each of the one core class: KINS 154A

Indirect Measures

Q4.4. Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO?

	1. Yes
X	2. No (If no, go to Q4.5)

Q4.4.1. Which of the following indirect measures were used?

1. National student surveys (e.g., NSSE, etc.)	
2. University conducted student surveys (OIR surveys)	
3. College/Department/program conducted student surveys	
4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews	
5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews	
6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews	
7. Others, specify:	

Q4.4.2. If surveys were used, were the sample sizes adequate?

•		_
	1. Yes	
	2. No	
	3. Don't know	

Q4.4.3. If surveys were used, please briefly specify how you select your sample? What is the response rate?

Other Measures

Q4.5. Were external benchmarking data used to assess the PLO?

	1. Yes
X	2. No (If no, go to Q4.6)

Q4.5.1. Which of the following measures was used?

1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams	
2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc)	
3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc)	
4. Others, specify:	

Q4.6. Were other measures used to assess the PLO?

	1. Yes
X	2. No (Go to Q4.7)
	3. Don't know (Go to Q4.7)

Q4.6.1. If ye	s, please s	pecify: []
----------------------	-------------	-----------	---

Alignment and Quality

Q4.7. Please describe how you collected the data? For example, in what course(s) (or by what means) were data collected? How reliable and valid is the data? [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

The VALUE written communication was used to collect data in order to directly assess 14 student's papers from a require core class (see below for criteria). The final scores were compiled by the instructor.

Context and purpose of writing: Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned tasks.

Content Development: Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.

Genre and Disciplinary Conventions: Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific disciple and/or writing task including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices.

Sources and Evidence: Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.

Control of Syntax and Mechanics: Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers

Q4.8. How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO? [__1__] NOTE: IF IT IS ONLY ONE, GO TO Q5.1.

Q4.8.1. Did the data (including all the assignments/projects/portfolios) from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?

iousuros, incursus univerif ungir with the 120.		
	1. Yes	
	2. No	
	3. Don't know	

Q4.8.2. Were ALL the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know

Question 5 (Q5): Use of Assessment Data.

Q5.1. To what extent have the assessment results from 2012-2013 been used for? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY]

AIILI	Very Much (1)	Quite a Bit (2)	Some (3)	Not at all (4)	Not Applicable (9)
1. Improving specific courses			X		
2. Modifying curriculum				X	
3. Improving advising and mentoring				X	
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals				X	
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations				X	
6. Developing/updating assessment plan				X	
7. Annual assessment reports		X			X
8. Program review					
9. Prospective student and family information					X
10. Alumni communication					X
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditation)		X			
12. Program accreditation			X		
13. External accountability reporting requirement					X
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations					X
15. Strategic planning					X
16. Institutional benchmarking					X
17. Academic policy development or modification					X
18. Institutional Improvement					X
19. Resource allocation and budgeting					X
20. New faculty hiring					X
21. Professional development for faculty and staff					X
22. Other Specify:					

X

Q5.1.1. Please provide one or two best examples to show how you have used the assessment data above.

The assessment was completed in one of the first courses that students in Athletic Training take in the major. When the results of the assignment is given to the class, instructors will advise students on

becoming more effective in their writing ability and lead them to resources to help them accomplish this skill.

Q5.2. As a result of the **assessment effort in 2013-2014** and based on the prior feedbacks from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of program learning outcomes)?

	1. Yes
X	2. No (If no, go to Q5.3)
	3. Don't know (Go to Q5.3)

Q5.2.1. What changes are anticipated? By what mechanism will the changes be implemented? How and when will you assess the impact of proposed modifications? [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

Instructors will be made aware of the writing resources in the university as well as the mentoring provided by the college.

Q5.2.2. Is there a follow-up assessment on these areas that need improvement?

	1. Yes
	2. No
X	3. Don't know

Q5.3. Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to program learning outcomes (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected assessment data in this way, please briefly report your results here. [WORD LIMIT: 300 WORDS]

Question 6 (Q6). Which program learning outcome(s) do you plan to assess next year?

	1. Critical thinking (WASC 1) ¹	
X	2. Information literacy (WASC 2)	
	3. Written communication (WASC 3)	
	4. Oral communication (WASC 4)	
	5. Quantitative literacy (WASC 5)	
	6. Inquiry and analysis	
	7. Creative thinking	
	8. Reading	
	9. Team work	
	10. Problem solving	
	11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local and global	
	12. Intercultural knowledge and competency	
	13. Ethical reasoning	
	14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning	
	15. Global learning	
	16. Integrative and applied learning	
	17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge	
	18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline	

19. Others. Specify any PLOs that the program is going to assess but not included above:
a.
b.
c.

Part 3: Additional Information

A1. In which academic year did you **develop** the current assessment plan?

	1. Before 2007-2008
	2. 2007-2008
	3. 2008-2009
	4. 2009-2010
	5. 2010-2011
X	6. 2011-2012
	7. 2012-2013
	8. 2013-2014
	9. Have not yet developed a formal assessment plan

A2. In which academic year did you last **update** your assessment plan?

	1. Before 2007-2008
	2. 2007-2008
	3. 2008-2009
	4. 2009-2010
	5. 2010-2011
X	6. 2011-2012
	7. 2012-2013
	8. 2013-2014
	9. Have not yet updated the assessment plan

A3. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?

X	1. Yes	
	2. No	
	3. Don't know	7

A4. Has the program indicated explicitly where the assessment **of student learning** occurs in the curriculum?

	1. Yes
	2. No
X	3. Don't know

A5. Does the program have any capstone class?

X	1. Yes
	2. No
	3. Don't know

A5.1. If yes, please list the course number for each capstone class: [__KINS 195D__]

A6. Does the program have **ANY** capstone project?

	1. Yes
X	2. No
	3. Don't know

A7. Name of the acad	emic unit: [Athletic Training]
A8. Department in wl	nich the academic unit is located: [Kinesiology and Health Science]
A9. Department Chai	r's Name: [Neide]
A10. Total number of	annual assessment reports submitted by your academic unit for 2013-2014: [6]
	h the academic unit is located:
	1. Arts and Letters
-	2. Business Administration
	3. Education
	4. Engineering and Computer Science
	5. Health and Human Services
	6. Natural Science and Mathematics
	7. Social Sciences and Interdisciplinary Studies
	8. Continuing Education (CCE)
	9. Other, specify:
Master Degree Progr A13. Number of Mast A13.1. List all the nat A13.2. How many co	ter's degree programs the academic unit has: [2] me(s): [Movement Studies, Exercise Science] ncentrations appear on the diploma for this master program? [2] s): ential degree programs the academic unit has: [0]
Doctorate Program(s A15. Number of doct A15.1. List the name(orate degree programs the academic unit has: [0]
A16. Would this assert academic unit*?	ssment report apply to other program(s) and/or diploma concentration(s) in your
	1. Yes
X	2. No
*If the assessment cond	ucted for this program (including the PLO(s), the criteria and standards of
performance/expectation	ns you established, the data you collected and analyzed, the conclusions of the assessment) is
the same as the assessm assessment report.	ent conducted for other programs within the academic unit, you only need to submit one
16.1. If yes, please sp	ecify the name of each program:

16.2. If yes, please specify the name of each diploma concentration:	
--	--